

February 12, 2018
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

Addendum No. 1/RFP Y18-806-CH

**INTERNATIONAL DRIVE TRANSIT FEASIBILITY AND ALTERNATIVE
TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT**

REVISED Proposal Opening Date: February 20, 2018

This addendum is hereby incorporated into the bid documents of the project referenced above. The following items are clarifications, corrections, additions, deletions and/or revisions to and shall take precedence over the original documents. Additions are indicated by underlining, deletions are indicated by strikethrough (~~strikethrough~~).

1. Note the **REVISION** in the Proposal Opening Date as follows:

Delete: ~~February 15, 2018 at 2:00 P.M.~~

Replace with: February 20, 2018 at 2:00 P.M.

2. Note the **ADDITION** to the Request for Proposals as follows:

The International Drive Vision Plan may be accessed by visiting the website address below. This Report is provided for informational purposes only.

<http://www.orangecountyfl.net/BoardofCommissioners/MayorTeresaJacobs/InternationalDriveVisionPlan.aspx>

- 3 The following are responses to questions received:

- A. **Question:** Page 6, scope, Task 2.5, Edition 3 – Who will serve as the Local Planning Agency for this study?

Answer: **The Local Planning Agency is a Board of County Commissioners appointed advisory Board which also serves as the Planning and Zoning Board.**

- B. **Question:** Page 22, scope, Task 6.4, paragraph 1 – At what point will the County identify “any extensions, expansion projects or candidate projects” to be included in the traffic earnings/revenue services?

Answer: **Any extensions/expansions may be initially identified as a broad assessment of the service area- if the County determines that a more detailed assessment is necessary for future expansions this may be accomplished through an amendment to the scope.**

C. **Question:** Page 22, scope, Task 6.4, paragraph 3 – Please clarify the requirement for the “pro forma ridership/revenues and operating costs for a transit system prepared by the Project Manager”. Is this intended to apply to a project on which the Project Manager worked that is now an operating transit service or does the County have a different expectation of that language?

Answer: The intent of this task is to provide ridership/revenues and operating costs of a similar transit system currently operating within the United States. The Project Manager should have had oversight in the development of the estimates.

D. **Question:** Page 23, scope, Task 6.6 – Does the County expect to require a Vibration Analysis as part of the study since one is usually required by FTA?

Answer: Yes.

E. **Question:** Page 28, scope, Task 8 – Does the County expect the design year to be 2038 (20 years from now) or should the design year be tied to the MetroPlan Orlando Long Range Transportation Plan?

Answer: Design year is to be consistent with the regional Long Range Plan (2040).

F. **Question:** Page 29, scope, Task 8.2 – As related to the design year question, does the County has an expectation for the opening year?

Answer: One of the primary reasons for this study is to determine/estimate ridership at opening year.

G. **Question:** Page 29, scope, Task 8.3 – Is the travel time information system for transit only, roadway only, or a combination of both?

Answer: Primary travel time information is related directly to the transit system.

H. **Question:** Page 30, scope, Task 9.1, 5th bullet – What does the County mean by “external corridor risks”?

Answer: This is intended to address safety parameters associated with all modes operating within the corridor.

I. **Question:** Page 31, scope, Task 9.1, 3rd bullet on page 31 – Please define the terms of the Certification requested? Are you looking for a separate letter providing revenue estimates signed by the Consultant? Are there outstanding indentures under which the Certification must comply? Will you confirm that all projections will be for planning purposes and not used in offering documents to secure financing?

Answer: All revenue estimates are for planning purposes – however, revenue related reports may be utilized to secure funding from FTA or other entities through the respective procedures.

J. **Question:** Page 32, scope, Task 10.4, 1st bullet – Is the purpose of the New/Small Starts application submittal package to evaluate how the project may rank per FTA’s requirements or does the County intend to request Entry into Project Development as part of this study and submit an actual application to FTA as part of the study?

Answer: It is the intent to have an actual application prepared – however, this will be determined at the end of the feasibility study.

K. **Question:** Page 32, scope, Task 10.4, 2nd bullet – Is budgets referring to the Orange County budget or is there other budgets to be considered?

Answer: Orange County’s budget.

L. **Question:** What is the County’s budget for this study?

Answer: \$700,000.00.

M. **Question:** Does the County have any specific milestones that must be met during the study (e.g., information for budget submittal)?

Answer: Yes – the expectation is to have a preliminary status report presented to the Board of County Commissioners no later than late August 2018. Specific milestones should be reflected on the schedule submitted by the consultant.

N. **Question:** Under the following requirements, does the entire team (Prime plus subconsultants) have to meet the 24% workforce goal as an average or does each individual team member have to meet the 24% workforce goal?

8. **MINORITY/WOMEN OWNED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE:**

A. Proposers must address how they intend to comply with the Orange County M/WBE Ordinance, No. 94-02 and amended by Ordinance No. 2009-21. The goal of 27% utilization of certified minority/women business enterprise is applicable to this project. The Ordinance also addresses minority/women group employment levels setting goals to encourage each Proposer to maintain 24% minority and women employee workforce levels in specific categories.

Answer: Each proposer (i.e., the Prime) submitting a bid to Orange County is encouraged to achieve the 24% minority and women employee workforce goal.

O. **Question:** What is the estimate schedule for this project?

Answer: 420 calendar days.

4. All other items, conditions and specifications remain the same.

5. The Bidder shall acknowledge receipt of this addendum by completing the applicable section in the solicitation or by completion of the acknowledgement information on the addendum. Either form of acknowledgement must be completed and returned not later than the date and time for receipt of the bid.

Receipt acknowledged by:

Authorized Signature

Date Signed

Title

Name of Firm