Comments

Name	Comment	Contact Info	Date
Chris Prunty	Dock spacing is concerning. ¼ inch may cause a safety concern.		9/03/21
Peter Fleck	Concerned with this addition "Boards used to construct the surface of the structure, whether landward or waterward of the NHWE, must not exceed eight (8) inches in width and must be spaced at least one-quarter (1/4) inch apart". Industry standard is 3/16 of an inch.		9/07/21
Peter Fleck	Code should allow for lower walkway over wetlands (30") with mitigation to avoid requirement for railings and avoid having to get variance.		9/07/21
Alan Horn	I agree with the permit after the fact penalty. I would suggest increasing it by a lot. \$500 on a \$50,000+ dock is essentially a rounding error. Make the process quicker and easier and there will be much more compliance. \$500 is not a deterrent. Is there something that limits the amount that can be charged as a penalty? Discussion:	ahorn@fendermarine.c om	09/02/21
Alan Horn	Regarding the notification reduced from 35 to 20 days, what is the reasoning for the notification process to begin with? If the checkboxes are all checked as far as what is allowed, no variance or waiver necessary, why can't a dock be permitted in the same fashion as any other accessory structure? In the City of Edgewood, we had a similar notice requirement. When I questioned it, no one could come up with an answer including the City Clerk, City Planner, and City Attorney. So, we removed the notification process unless a checkbox could not be checked. Getting a dock cleared by Zoning in Edgewood is now no more difficult than getting a shed unless some requirement is not met or a setback waiver is requested. (At the same time, we matched Orange County dock requirements too to keep some consistency on the same bodies of water with 1 exception we have a 13' max roof height from NHWE, not 12' from deck elevation.) EPD: In Code, requires that we notify them and give them reasonable time to comment/make a motion, etc.	om	09/02/21
Sheila Cichra	Dock spacing is concerning. 1/2 inch may cause a safety concern, could increasing the elevation be considered in lieu of the spacing? Maybe you go higher rather than increase the		9-14-21
	spacing. Keep in mind railing and guards based on walkway height.		
	spacing. Keep in mind railing and guards		

September 15 2021 Stakeholder Meeting, 100PM			
Name	Organization/E-Mail		
Tim Hull	EPD; re stairs, might not rise to the level of being in the code. Might be a WI item, not code (eg		
	docks on ponds), can the nav boards be a COA?		
Liz Johnson	EPD		
Neal Thomas	EPD		
Robin Lopez	Staircase, launch platform, get on and off a PWC or kayak. Concerns about safety (being		
-	underwater for a certain time (submerged, algae, catapult, etc.) Line 338: water depth. Be careful		
	about using the word navigable (like longshoremans, etc.), min has reference to NH but max		

	doesn't. 5 feet from NHWE (make sure it references NHWE)The current is 1000SF. If you exceed it (waiver) then you have to provide mitigation to address part 2 of the waiver criteria. You can go bigger than 1000SF but would have to mitigate. RL asked about mitigation calcs. Definitions: accessories: what about stairs to eliminate confusion.				
Peter Fleck	Deck spacing: open up can of worms, clips to hold decking down, one more thing to handle in the field, roof boat dock, already blocking light, is it just the walkway? TP: PKF thinks that a owner with a huge lot should get a bigger dock. Height: larger docks may also exceed the roof height. NT thinks there should be a cap due to visual impact issues.				
Alan Horn	Side setback question, COBI doesn't allow mooring w/in the side setback, would EPD consider that? Go to ten feet but don't allow mooring. Liked the 5sf over 75 part for TP. The size of the property size should be taken in to account. Dock length, maybe put in some intent language. (maybe in section 15-322)				
Aleas Koos	OCAO				
Jason Root	EPD				
David Jones	Cited City of Maitland Code and another code as well as a study as a basis for the spacing.				
Danielle Marshall	Dmarshall@Altamonte.org				
Flormari Blackburn	fblackburn@esciencesinc.com				
Mark Ausley	Neal, What is the reasoning for having a height restriction? I'm glad it is increasing just not sure why we would have a restriction at all.				
Rebecca Bowden	Planking requirement for DEP (within an AP) is that the can be spaced no less than 1/2 inch apart and the access walkway shall be elevated 5 feet				
Tina Demostene	tdemostene@Altamonte.org; <u>Tina.Demostene@gmail.com</u> , from City of Altamonte, looking at their Code, wondering about why increasing roof height. Question: will EPD allow mooring within the ten foot side setback? EPD: Yes, we will as long as no mooring piling is associated w/ that.				
Sheila Cichra	sheilacichra@gmail.com, separate the part of the dock over water and not under a roof or enclosed for spacing. SC liked the roof height. Clarify where a set of stairs going up would be calculated? TP or Access walkway. SC: Can an application be submitted concurrently to the OC Building Div? Why is zoning even involved? Can Zoning be eliminated from the process? Grass grows under docks at 3 feet high.				
Alyssa Alers					
Heydon Denton	Another consideration for the side setback requirements, FDEP requires a 25 foot setback for any property on sovereign submerged lands if the shoreline is greater than 65 feet in length. FDEP requires handrails on the walkway of any dock when it crosses through wetlands/conservation areas.				
Jonathan Dressler	EPD				
Karen Garrett-Kraus	EPD				
Katie Grablow	EPD				
Lori Hodgskin					
Nicole Salvatico	EPD				
Georgiana Holmes	OCAO				
Donovan Enos	EPD				
Ed Rysak	EPD				

34. Butler Chain of Lakes needs a code like Econ and Wekiva.
35. Accept WMD/FDEP wetland lines. (Article X)
36. Keep OC in permitting business. FWC only has one person for the entire County.
37. OC LSP access corridor exemption provides better protection of the lakes.
38. OC Access corridor rules should be the same as FWC.
39. Should rip-rap be a requirement or optional. (Article VII)
40. Revise Code to allow County to regulate secondary and cumulative impacts to wetlands. (Article X)