ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION 3165 McCrory Place, Suite 200 Orlando, FL 32803 Panther Training Rooms I and II and Via WebEx April 28, 2021

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION (EPC)

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** 

Mark Ausley - Chairman Oscar Anderson\* - Vice Chairman Flormari Blackburn - Member Billy Butterfield - Member Elaine Imbruglia - Member

### ABSENT MEMBERS: Mark Corbett - Member

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION (EPD)

STAFF PRESENT: David Jones\*, Manager - EPD Elizabeth R. Johnson, Assistant Manager - EPD Renee Parker\*, Assistant Manager - EPD Georgiana Holmes\* - OC Attorney's Office (OCAO) Aleas Koos\* - OCAO Tim Hull - EPD Neal Thomas\* - EPD Nicole Salvatico\* - EPD Jason Root - EPD Katie Grablow - EPD

GUESTS: Sheila Cichra\* Mark Kane\* Jason Smithwick\*

## VACANT POSITION:

Regulated Business or Municipality

## \*Denotes attending via WebEx

## I. Call to Order:

Chairman Ausley called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m. in Panther Training Rooms I & II.

## II. Public Comment(s) (Resolution No. 2013-M-41)

None.

# III. Approval of Meeting Minutes - March 31, 2021

Upon a motion by Flormari Blackburn; with a second by Billy Butterfield; with Mark Corbett being absent and members being present voting AYE by voice vote; the March 31, 2021 Meeting Minutes were approved.

### IV. **Public Hearings**

1. Anthony and Kathryn Kane - BD-19-07-066; Request for variance to Section 15-342(c) (walkway height); 5436 Water Creek Drive, Lake William Davis; District 1

Neal Thomas gave a presentation. Flormari Blackburn asked who filed the original permit application. Nicole Salvatico stated it was the same applicant. Mark Ausley asked if the previous modification was approved. Tim Hull said it was, but the request before the Board is the second modification request. Oscar Anderson asked who is making this current request and Neal Thomas said it was the applicants, the Kanes. Mr. Ausley asked if there was a planting plan for the Conservation Easement. Liz Johnson explained that it had been sodded and maintained for a long time, years prior to the applicants' purchase of the lot. She said that we did not request a planting plan, but the applicant has agreed to not mow it. The applicant's agent, Sheila Cichra, spoke on behalf of the applicant and provided a presentation. Ms. Cichra stated that the applicants had nothing to do with the original permit, as they hired Kona Docks (who then hired CVS Restorations) to handle the permitting paperwork. She states that raising the walkway would be costly and would cause more environmental harm than leaving it at the current elevation. She points out the lot to the south, which has a bare shoreline and small sand beach. She states that if the variance is granted, the applicant has proposed to remove the sod within the Conservation Easement and replant with native, wetland vegetation. Mr. Ausley asked if a planting plan was received by the County. Sheila stated that a plan has been submitted, but that the County had decided not to present it, as the applicants had already been issued a formal letter requesting they cease mowing of the area. The applicant, Mr. Kane, stated he hired Kona Docks to obtain the permit and construct the dock while he is living in England. Mr. Kane said he was unaware the dock was not built correctly until he received a Notice of Violation. Mr. Ausley closed the public hearing. Mr. Ausley stated the purpose of the elevated walkway was to protect wetland and this area was not a wetland. He stated he would be supportive of a restoration plan for the Conservation Easement in lieu of raising the boardwalk. Flormari Blackburn stated that the applicant was made aware of the issue from the beginning and are now asking to allow it to remain because of the cost to fix it. Ms. Blackburn said that she thinks the issue with the walkway height is a self-imposed hardship. Elaine Imbruglia states that she thinks this is not self-imposed because Mr. Kane said he was unaware of the requirements, and asks if it is the best option to require them to raise the walkway rather than consider the restoration of the area. Billy Butterfield asked if there was an existing dock prior to the current dock. The applicant stated that this is a new dock and there was not a previous dock. Mr. Butterfield states that he would agree with allowing the dock to remain as-is if it had been existing prior to the 2019 permit, but since this is a recently built dock it shouldn't have been built this way to begin with. Oscar Anderson stated that the applicant probably has some legal recourse when it comes to his dock builder. Mr. Butterfield stated the walkway and the conservation area plantings are two separate issues. Liz Johnson clarified that the Conservation Easement is a wetland; though it may not look or act like a wetland due to previous unauthorized impacts. She further stated that EPD could request the current property owners (being the applicants) restore the area. Mr. Butterfield states that issues come before this commission every month and that EPC should try to fix them if possible. Discussion ensued.

Upon a motion by Flormari Blackburn; with a second by Billy Butterfield; with Mark Corbett being absent, with Oscar Anderson, Flormari Blackburn, and Billy Butterfield voting AYE by voice vote, Mark Ausley and Elaine Imbruglia voting NAY; the EPC voted to accept the findings and recommendations of the Environmental Protection Officer, and make a finding that the after-the-fact variance request is inconsistent with Orange County Code, Chapter 15, Article IX, Section 15-350(a)(1) and recommend denial of the request for after-the-fact variance to Section 15-342(c) and require the permittee to reconstruct the access walkway as shown on the site plans previously approved in the October 27, 2020 permit modification within 90 days of approval by the Board of County Commissioners for the Anthony and Kathryn Kane Dock Construction Permit Modification BD-19-07-066. District 1. The motion passed 3-2.

### V. Environmental Protection Division Report

None.

### VI. Open Discussion

Next EPC Meeting - May 26, 2021. The meeting will be a blended meeting again with a requirement for an in-person quorum. Members Ausley, Blackburn, Butterfield and Imbruglia have agreed to attend in person at EPD.

Oscar Anderson stated that he does not like after-the-fact requests and would like to look into a recourse to fix the issue. Discussion ensued. No action was taken.

### VII. Non-Agenda Items

None

### VIII. Adjournment

The April 28, 2021 meeting adjourned at 9:30 a.m.

Chairman, Environmental Protection Commission

Date

Elhabeth R. for

End of Minutes, Prepared by Godfrey F. Dickson, Jr.