

October 22, 2019
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA
RFP Y20-902-JS/ADDENDUM #2
CONTINUING CONSULTING SERVICES FOR GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING,
TESTING AND INSPECTION FOR THE ORANGE COUNTY CONVENTION CENTER
NORTH/SOUTH BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS

Opening Date: October 29, 2019

This addendum is intended to be incorporated into the bid documents of the project referenced above. The following items are clarifications, corrections, additions, deletions and/or revisions to and shall take precedence over the original documents. Underlining indicates additions, deletions are indicated by ~~strike through~~.

A. The following are clarifications/questions/responses:

Revisions: Section 21: CONTRACT AWARD

~~The County reserves the right to award one or more contracts for this service if this action is determined to be in its best interest.~~

A. **Contract Award**

The County reserves the right to award one or more contracts for this service if this action is determined to be in its best interest.

B. **Award Restriction**

Contractor(s) and subcontractor(s) under contract for any other solicitation regarding the Orange County Convention Center North/South Building Improvements (by way of example: Owner's Representative contract, the Design Contract, etc.) shall be ineligible to participate in this RFP. Additionally, the awarded Proposer for this RFP and any of its subcontractor(s) shall be ineligible to participate in any future contracts to be solicited for the Orange County Convention Center North/South Building Improvements.

Revisions: Section 26: SIMILAR PROJECTS

Similar Projects Criteria Scoring (Forms D & E):

~~In order to receive consideration as a "Similar Project" each project shall fully meet the above similar project description and contain mandatory Project Element numbers one (1), two (2) and three (3). Failure to meet these requirements will result in the project receiving a score of zero for that project.~~

~~Each "Similar Project" will be scored based on the following elements:~~

- ~~1. The Consultant shall submit three (3) "Similar Projects" for the proposed Project Manager and three (3) "Similar Projects" for the proposed Project Engineer.~~
- ~~2. The Proposed Project Manager and Project Engineer may submit the same "Similar Projects".~~
- ~~3. To be considered for one-half (1/2) point, each "Similar Project" submitted must contain Elements one (1), two (2) and three (3) and a minimum of five (5) additional Project Elements described above.~~
- ~~4. To be considered for one (1) point, each "Similar Project" submitted must contain Elements one (1), two (2) and three (3) and a minimum of eight (8) additional Project Elements described above.~~
- ~~5. Projects that are not satisfactorily completed or not similar to the proposed project will receive zero (0) point.~~

Similar Projects Criteria Scoring (Forms D & E):

In order to receive consideration as a "Similar Project", the scope of services managed by the **Project Manager** for each project shall fully meet the above similar project description and contain all three **Mandatory Project Elements**. In order to receive consideration as a "Similar Project", the scope of services managed by the **Project Engineer** for each project shall fully meet the above similar project description and contain at a minimum of **one (1) Mandatory Project Element**. Failure to meet these requirements will result in the project receiving a score of zero for that project.

Each "Similar Project" will be scored based on the following elements:

1. The Consultant shall submit three (3) "Similar Projects" for the proposed Project Manager and three (3) "Similar Projects" for the proposed Project Engineer.
2. The Proposed Project Manager and Project Engineer may submit the same "Similar Projects".
3. To be considered for one-half (1/2) point, the scope of services managed by the Project Manager for each "Similar Project" must contain all three (3) of the Mandatory Project Elements and a minimum of five (5) additional Project Elements described above.
4. To be considered for one-half (1/2) point, the scope of services managed by the Project Engineer for each "Similar Project" must contain a minimum of one (1) Mandatory Project Elements and five (5) additional Project Elements described above.
5. To be considered for one (1) point, the scope of services managed by the Project Manager for each "Similar Project" must contain all three (3) of the Mandatory

Project Elements and a minimum of eight (8) additional Project Elements described above.

6. To be considered for one (1) point, the scope of services managed by the Project Engineer for each “Similar Project” must contain a minimum of one (1) Mandatory Project Elements and eight (8) additional Project Elements described above.
7. Projects that are not satisfactorily completed or not similar to the proposed project will receive zero (0) point.

Question 1: Recent venue projects in Orange County have required that the threshold/special inspection firm be separate and independent from the construction materials testing (CMT) firm. Some of the threshold inspector’s responsibilities could involve the partial oversight of the CMT firm’s presence at the site, their sampling methods, and their test results. This additional layer of oversight and quality assurance is inadvertently removed by allowing the same firm to perform both threshold inspections and materials testing. Will you kindly consider separating the structural/threshold inspection scope from the materials testing scope and hire separate firms for each?

Response 1: The scope of services will remain as is for this RFP.

Question 2A: Does the Project Manager / Project Engineer have had to been involved in all three of the following Project Elements: 1. Concrete cylinder materials testing and inspection (core sample, slump test); 2. Subsurface structural analysis and testing (including standard penetration testing or cone penetration testing); 3. Structural and Threshold inspections under one project to get full credit?

Response 2A: Refer to the revised Section 26. Similar Projects above.

Question 2B: Would we get full credit if, the Project Manager / Project Engineer was involved in Project Elements 1 and 2 and 3 but the work was performed by another firm on the project.

Response 2B: Refer to the revised Section 26. Similar Projects above.

Question 3: We are considering an MWBE sub consultant to help perform some of the threshold/special inspections listed the scope of services. For their participation to count towards the 27% goal, must that firm be certified by the County’s Business Development Division for threshold/special inspections? They are currently certified by the Business Development Division for other lines of business but not threshold/special inspections.

Response 3: Yes, the firm must be certified by the County’s Business Development Division for threshold/special inspections as indicated in RFP Y20-902-JS, Minority/Women Owned Business Enterprise, Section 8(b).

C. All other term and conditions of the RFP remain the same.

D. The Proposer shall acknowledge receipt of this addendum by completing the applicable section in the solicitation or by completion of the acknowledgement information on the addendum. Either form of acknowledgement must be completed and returned not later than the date and time for receipt of the proposal.

Receipt acknowledged by:

Authorized Signature

Date Signed

Title

Name of Firm