

## ~~V.2 DRAFT Parks and Recreation Committee Report~~

(Updated 03/30/06) (Side-By-Side 03/28/06) ~~PARKS AND RECREATION~~  
~~COMMITTEE REPORT~~

### ~~V.2.0 Table of Contents~~

- ~~—V.2.1 Members~~
- ~~—V.2.2 Meeting Schedule and Presenters~~
- ~~—V.2.3 Executive Summary~~
- ~~—V.2.4 Side-By-Side Comparison~~
- ~~—V.2.5 Findings~~
- ~~—V.2.6 Conclusions~~
- ~~—V.2.7 Recommendations~~
- ~~—Appendix V.2.A, Meeting Schedule and Presenters~~
- ~~—Appendix V.2.B, Orange County Parks and Recreation Impact Fee~~
- ~~—Appendix V.2.C, List of City of Orlando Agreements with Orange County Board of Education~~
- ~~—Appendix V.2.D, Parks and Recreation Divisions Side-By-Side Comparison~~

~~V.2.1 **Members**—The Parks and Recreation Committee Members were:~~

- ~~—**Monty Knox**—Chairman~~
- ~~—**Jim Kallinger**—Member~~
- ~~—**Cesar Calvet**—Member~~
- ~~—**Don Ammerman**—Member~~
- ~~—**Jimmy Goff**—Member~~

### ~~V.2.2 **Meeting Schedule and Presenters**~~

~~The Parks and Recreation Committee held its first meeting on August 18, 2005 and concluded its deliberations on January 24<sup>th</sup>, 2006. The Committee meeting dates and presenters are shown in Appendix V.2.A.~~

### ~~V.2.3 **Executive Summary**~~

~~(To be written after final decision on recommendations)~~

### ~~V.2.4 **Side-By-Side Comparison**~~

~~The Side-By-Side Comparison of Orange County and the City of Orlando Parks and Recreation Services is at Appendix V.2.C. The Side-By-Side presents data the Committee thought relevant to their deliberations and conclusions.~~

## ~~V.2 DRAFT Parks and Recreation Committee Report~~

### ~~V.2.5 Findings~~

The Committee finds that:

~~V.2.5.1 The Level of Service (LOS) expected by residents would be an issue that must be addressed in any consolidation of parks and recreation services. The Committee noted that the City of Orlando provides parks and recreation for an urban environment, and that Orange County in the past provided those services for the mostly rural environment of unincorporated Orange County, but now provides those services for an increasingly urban environment being created within the unincorporated areas of Orange County. (Source: Parks and Recreation Committee observation)~~

~~V.2.5.2 The Committee noted the ongoing cooperation that exists among Orange County, the City of Orlando, and the Orange County Public Schools (OCPS) Board. The City of Orlando, for example, through synergistic efforts with a number of school principals has established parks on OCPS campuses (see Appendix V.2.C), thus giving those schools and the public needed recreational facilities at a savings to both OCPS (cost avoidance for the purchase, installation, and maintenance of recreational facilities) and the City of Orlando (cost avoidance for the purchase of land for the park).~~

<del>Members .....</del>	<del>2</del>
<del>Meeting Schedule .....</del>	<del>2</del>
<del>Side-By-Side Comparisons .....</del>	<del>2</del>
<del>Findings of Fact.....</del>	<del>2</del>
<del>Conclusions.....</del>	<del>7</del>
<del>Recommendations .....</del>	<del>8</del>
<del>Chart Depicting the Committee Schedule and Presenters .....</del>	<del>Appendix A</del>
<del>Parks and Recreation Divisions Side-By-Side Comparison .....</del>	<del>Appendix B</del>
<del>List of City of Orlando Agreements with Orange County Board of Education..</del>	<del>Appendix C</del>
<del>Orange County Parks and Recreation Impact Fee.....</del>	<del>Appendix D</del>

## **V.2 DRAFT Parks and Recreation Committee Report**

### **PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE REPORT**

#### **Members**

The Parks and Recreation Committee consisted of the following individuals:

- Monty Knox, Chair
- Don Ammerman
- Cesar Calvet
- Jimmy Goff
- Jim Kallinger

#### **Meeting Schedule**

The Parks and Recreation Committee (the “Committee” held its first meeting on August 18, 2005 and met on eight separate occasions, concluding its deliberations on January 31, 2006. A chart compiling the Committee’s schedule of meetings and the presenters is attached as Appendix A.

#### **Side-By-Side Comparisons**

A detailed Side-By-Side Comparison of the data presented and considered by the Committee is attached as Appendix B. The data cited in the Side-by-Side Comparison is information provided by either Orange County or the City of Orlando and is not based on any independent calculations or studies prepared by the Committee.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The following findings of fact were determined by the Committee to be of significance and are the basis for their conclusions and recommendations:

*Parks Fact #1* The Level of Service expected by residents was determined to be an issue that must be addressed in any consolidation of parks and recreation services. The Committee distinguished that the City of Orlando provides parks and recreation for a largely urban environment. Orange County has in the past provided those services for mostly rural areas of unincorporated Orange County. However, Orange County has now been faced with having to provide for those services for an increasingly larger urban environment that is being developed within the unincorporated areas of Orange County.

*Parks Fact #2* The Committee noted that ongoing cooperation currently exists between Orange County, the City of Orlando, and the Orange County Public Schools

## V.2 ~~DRAFT Parks and Recreation Committee Report~~

~~(“OCPS”) Board. The City of Orlando, for example, through synergistic efforts with a number of school principals has established parks on OCPS campuses. See, Appendix C - List of City of Orlando Agreements with Orange County Board of Education. The agreements between the governmental entities have provided both the schools and the public the needed recreational facilities at a savings to both. OCPS is able to avoid the costs for the purchase, installation, and maintenance of recreational facilities while the City of Orlando is able to avoid the acquisition costs associated with the purchase of land to develop the sites as parks. There is a need for continued coordination and problem solving among the three governmental bodies concerning current issues. The Committee realizes that the Commission’s charge does not address considering consolidation of services with any other governmental entity; however, coordination with the School Board is essential to achieving the most effective utilization of the limited resources of the three governmental bodies for parks and recreation facilities and programs. (Source: Parks and Recreation Committee observation)~~

~~V.2.5.3 The Parks Fact #3 The~~ Committee discussed the potential benefits of a Parks Authority operating independently, with dedicated funding ~~(possibly possibly coming from a special tax district). This district. This independent Parks Authority~~ would include the consolidation of the City of Orlando and the Orange County parks and recreation services and the associated City and County personnel.

~~V.2.5.4 Consolidation Parks Fact #4 Consolidation~~ of the parks and recreation departments might have direct and indirect benefits such ~~as as:~~

- ~~o saving money by outsourcing provided services, certain services provided;~~
- ~~o combining and possibly changing the way purchasing is accomplished for the parks and recreation entities of the County and City, two governmental entities~~
- ~~o combining services to achieve fiscal efficiencies, and efficiencies; and,~~
- ~~o combining the departments to achieve overhead cost savings. (Source: Parks and Recreation staff)~~

~~V.2.5.5 Possible disadvantages of the consolidation of the parks and recreation departments Parks Fact #5 The Committee also considered the possible disadvantages in consolidating the two departments. Those factors~~ include the necessity to duplicate the supportive roles already existing in both local governments including, but not limited to: payroll, accounting, personnel, budget, fleet, etc. Also, additional agreements or consideration would have to be given to finding other ways of handling ~~the~~

~~the~~ ancillary tasks that are presently the responsibility of the City of Orlando Family, Parks and Recreation Department that probably would not ~~fall under a separate be appropriate duties under a new joint~~ Parks Authority. ~~(Source: Parks and Recreation staff)~~ For example, the City of Orlando’s Parks Division is responsible for mowing ~~of~~ many ~~of the~~ local right-of-ways and for mowing and landscaping around other city offices, ~~city hall,~~ lots and water retention areas. (Source of ~~example: Example:~~ City of

## V.2 ~~DRAFT Parks and Recreation Committee Report~~

Orlando Families, Parks and Recreation presentation to Parks and Recreation Committee, August 18, 2005).

~~V.2.5.6 Both the Parks Fact #6 The City of Orlando and Orange County has currently have a systematic approach to providing parks and recreational facilities.~~

~~–Parks Fact #7 The City has a Recreation Element in its Growth Management Plan (GMP) that specifies the level of service (LOS) standards for parks.~~

~~eparks. According to adopted GMP Recreation Policy 1.1.1, the City of Orlando uses a City-wide LOS city-wide level of service standard of 3.25 acres for every 1,000 city per 1000 population of Community and Neighborhood residents. This measure is for community and neighborhood parkland combined.~~

~~oIn addition to the Citywide city-wide standard, the City must also measure LOS level of service for individual Community and Neighborhood community and neighborhood park service areas. The Community Park Sector LOS is 1.3 acres per 1,000 of population, while level of service standard is 1.3 acres for every 1,000 city residents. In contrast, the Neighborhood Park LOS standard is 0.75 acres per 1,000 population.  
for every 1,000 residents.~~

~~oGMP Recreation Policy 1.1.1 also states that, in addition to the City's adopted acreage standards, the City has developed guidelines for activity-based amenities including ball fields, pools and courts. While not used for concurrency management purposes, these guidelines are to be used to guide park acquisition and development through the planning period. (Source of City ~~information:~~Information: Dean Grandin, Director, Planning and Development Department and Linda Rhinesmith, City of Orlando Economic Development Manager).~~

~~–Parks Fact #8 Orange County also has a Recreation and Open Space Element in its Comprehensive Plan that specifies their park standards.~~

~~ostandards. According to Policy 1.1.1, Orange County uses a LOS level of service standard of 1.5 acres of publicly owned activity-based parkland and trails per for every 1,000 residents oin unincorporated Orange County.~~

~~oCounty. In all planned unit developments and developments of regional impact, park set-asides are mandated.~~

## ~~V.2 DRAFT Parks and Recreation Committee Report~~

~~e~~The County Comprehensive Land Use Plan also sets minimum standards for the number of active and passive areas required for the County to stay in compliance with its recreation and open space element of the Plan. ~~(The~~The Committee has found that the County is greatly in excess of that standard.)

~~e~~In contrast to the City, Orange County does not require a specific quota per thousand of population for specific components of parks (e.g. tennis courts per ~~thousand~~) as the City of Orlando does; rather, thousand). Rather, the County has a more flexible process for acquired park land. ~~The County direct mails a user survey~~parkland. The County conducts user surveys via direct mailings to every residential household that will be served by the particular classification of the park to be developed. The County park classifications are: pocket, neighborhood, community, district, regional, and special park in ascending order of size and component complexity. Residents are informed of the future park and asked to list any and all amenities that they wish to see ~~sited at their park~~. ~~For~~provided at their future park. As an example, the County surveyed over 20,000 residents in the planning ~~for~~and development of Dr. Phillips Park. ~~From~~Based on the resident responses, the County develops a prioritized list of park amenities and ~~develops~~ a preliminary site plan for the future park. The County then ~~usually~~ holds ~~two~~ town hall meetings on the proposed park design ~~with the residents who are notified about the meetings via direct mail and published ads. for the purpose of receiving input from the particular residents who were surveyed~~. At the meetings, the park plan is ~~fine-tuned and future input is refined based on the input~~ solicited from the impacted residents. The County then develops a final site plan for the project and a project budget and places them in the County Capital Improvement Plan. The Board of County Commissioners appropriates funding at the appropriate budget ~~cycle~~. ~~Finally, cycle and~~ a finished site plan is ~~direct~~eventually mailed to all of the residents ~~to that will~~ be served by the park to ensure that they ~~know specifically when and what the park in their service area will be like~~. ~~The County process~~are aware of the amenities and services provided in their new park. The Committee was informed that the process in Orange County has been recognized by planners nationally as especially effective and innovative. (Source for County ~~information~~:Information: William Potter, Manager, Parks and Recreation).

~~V.2.5.7 The~~Parks Fact #9The Orange County Parks and Recreation Division, with a staff of ~~276~~, ~~has~~276 employees is responsible for 94 parks. Their total park acreage is 15,687 acres with 1,602 of those ~~being~~acres classified as maintained acres. ~~(Source: Orange County)~~. The City of Orlando ~~Families, Family~~, Parks and Recreation Department, with a staff of ~~268~~, ~~has~~268 employees, is responsible for 104 parks. The total park acreage for the City is 3,287 with 1,189 of those ~~being~~maintained. ~~(Source: City of Orlando Families, Parks and Recreation Parks Division)~~acres classified as maintained acres.

~~V.2.5.8 The~~Parks Fact #10 The Orange County Parks and Recreation Division has ~~gone to the~~approached faith-based community institutions asking them for land to establish public parks under a fifty-year lease ~~agreements~~agreement. This approach to providing recreational facilities and services ~~makes use of property, owned by the faith-~~

## ~~V.2 DRAFT Parks and Recreation Committee Report~~

~~based allows for the use and community, located in an area where development of recreational facilities located in areas of need as identified by the Parks and Recreation Division needed to place such services for the community. Division.~~ As with Orlando's partnership with OCPS ~~school~~ principals, there ~~are~~

~~are~~ benefits ~~for~~ to both Orange County and the faith-based ~~organization. organizations.~~ The County can ~~place allocate a recreational~~ facility where otherwise no land would be ~~available, and available without incurring the land acquisition costs. Meanwhile,~~ the faith-based community ~~benefits from the facility and recreation services that otherwise wouldn't have existed. (Source: Orange County Parks and organization benefits from the use of the facility and services provided without investing the capital expenditures that would otherwise be required. Recreation Division)~~

~~V.2.5.9 Orange Parks Fact #11 Orange~~ County, through its Parks and Recreation Division, has created a "Field of Dreams" program that provides grants for capital improvements to facilities used by eligible youth sports organizations. To date, over 50 ~~organizations (serving organizations, serving~~ more than 14,000 ~~youth) youth,~~ have received nearly \$4.5 million in Field of Dreams grants. This program has been recognized by the National Association of Counties as an outstanding example of partnering with the community for the benefit of youth. (Source: Linda Akins, Orange County Director of Government Relations).

~~V.2.5.10 Orange Parks Fact #12 Orange~~ County Parks and Recreation Division has developed a youth initiative called "The Club" to encourage and provide incentives for youth of all ages and interests to get involved and stay involved in recreational activities of their choice. The program partners with hundreds of public, private and nonprofit recreational and entertainment providers ~~(including the City of Orlando)~~ throughout Orange ~~County. All children~~ County, including the City of Orlando. All children, ages 5 to 19 and which reside in Orange County, ~~ages 5 to 19~~ are eligible to join. The only requirement for membership is ongoing participation in recreational programs provided by the many partner agencies. Membership benefits, ranging from free transportation on ~~the~~ LYNX mass transit ~~system~~ to free or deeply discounted special events, and discounts for goods and services provided by the many business partners are provided to those who participate. More than 20,000 youth are ~~"Club" members. members of "The Club."~~ Qualified low-income families are provided vouchers to help offset the financial costs of participation in provided partner programs. ~~The "The Club"~~ program has received national recognition. (Source: Orange County Parks and Recreation Pamphlet, Parent's Guide to ~~the~~ The Club and Linda Akins, Orange County Director of Government Relations).

~~V.2.5.11 The Parks Fact #13~~ The City of Orlando undertook a new parks initiative in 1999. This initiative was the largest effort to design and construct new park and recreational facilities in the City's history. Initially, it was presented as a 3-year program that would increase green-space by 30% and add 24 new ball fields. City Council approved a budget of \$30M. The directive from the Mayor and City Council was to

## ~~V.2 DRAFT Parks and Recreation Committee Report~~

create “extraordinary and unforgettable” green-spaces that would meet the City’s recreational needs until the year 2015. The Parks Initiative was so well received by City leaders and citizens that it was extended in duration for six years (1999-2005). The final result was the completion of 30 park projects; a 65% increase in total park acreage, and the addition of 60 new baseball, softball, soccer, tennis, or basketball courts. The City received grants totaling \$3.6M and approved additional funding. The final cost to the City was \$38M. ~~(Source: City of Orlando)~~

~~V.2.5.12 The Parks Fact #14~~ The City of Orlando ~~Families, Family,~~ Parks, and Recreation Department maintains 110,000 trees located in right-of-ways ~~(ROW)~~ and on public properties.

It also is the primary force in the 10,000 Trees Initiative designed to replace trees lost to the hurricanes of 2004. For ~~the Orange~~ County, the Health and Family Services Department provides a similar service. (Source: City of Orlando, Parks Division Services and Accomplishments, August 17, 2005 ~~[handout]~~). ~~[handout]~~

~~V.2.5.13 Plans Parks Fact #15~~ As a general rule, ~~plans~~ for the provision of new parks and recreation facilities should be coordinated among all departments on which the provision has an ~~impact (e.g. impact. For example, the creation of a new park may require the input and participation of the~~ Public Works, ~~Planning and Planning, Real Estate, etc.);~~ ~~Real Estate Departments.~~ ~~New parks also require governmental entities to identify all costs and funding sources associated with land acquisition, capital equipment, and operating and maintenance services, and services and to be consistent with the objectives outlined in the Comprehensive Plan.~~ (Source: Linda Rhinesmith, City of Orlando Economic Development Manager).

~~V.2.5.14 Dedicated Parks Fact #16~~ ~~Dedicated~~ funding for parks and recreation is important for fiscal stability over the long term. Orange County recently implemented a Parks and Recreation Impact Fee ~~(see Appendix V.2.B)~~ that will provide funding for the acquisition of parkland. ~~See Appendix D - Orange County Parks and Recreation Impact Fee.~~ In addition, the County has dedicated a portion of both the countywide ad-valorem millage and the public service tax to be used for operations, maintenance and capital expenditures. The City of Orlando ~~Families, Parks and Recreation Department does not have a dedicated funding source beyond general revenue.~~ ~~(Source: Linda Akins, Family, Parks and Recreation Department does not have a dedicated funding Director of Orange County Government Relations)~~

~~V.2.5.15~~ ~~Advantages and possible difficulties of a consolidated parks and recreation services user-friendly website were discussed, and at the Committee’s request, the technology staffs of the County and City looked at what would be needed to create and sustain a combined website. Both jurisdictions indicated that this would require dedicated staff resources to accomplish and sustain.~~ ~~(Source: City of Orlando)~~

## ~~V.2 DRAFT Parks and Recreation Committee Report~~

~~V.2.5.16~~ — The Executive Director provided the Committee with the website ([http://www.topeka.org/pdfs/parks\\_rec\\_merger\\_plan.pdf](http://www.topeka.org/pdfs/parks_rec_merger_plan.pdf)) for The City of Topeka and Shawnee County Parks and Recreation Proposed Consolidation Plan, dated July 11, 2005.

### ~~V.2.6 Conclusions~~

~~Upon conclusion of deliberations the Committee unanimously determined:~~

~~V.2.6.1~~ — Both Orange County and the City of Orlando have professionally run parks and recreation services dedicated to providing exemplary service to residents. They provide complementary services that reflect the diverse needs of a diverse community.

~~V.2.6.2~~ — A thorough audited budget analysis of the possible fiscal and service efficiencies gained through the creation of a Parks Authority would take far more time and resources than this Commission has been given.

~~V.2.6.3~~ — The citizens' level of service expectations would have to be considered in any consolidation of parks and recreation services.

~~V.2.6.4~~ — Continued and expanded participation in the successful partnerships that exist among Orange County, the City of Orlando, and their partners (e.g. OCPS, the faith-based community) would be of benefit to those governmental entities and their citizens.

~~V.2.6.5~~ — Dedicated funding sources, both for acquisition and for operations and maintenance, would be of great benefit to Orange County and the City of Orlando's parks and recreation departments.

~~V.2.6.6~~ — Joint planning between Orange County and the City of Orlando to provide parks and recreation locations and services should continue to ensure that greatest value services are provided to citizens. With growth as it is and is projected to be, more collaboration is necessary.

~~V.2.6.7~~ — A user-friendly parks and recreation website that links to Orange County, City of Orlando, other providers of services, and eventually all cities in Orange County, would be a real asset to all Orange County citizens.

## ~~V.2 DRAFT Parks and Recreation Committee Report~~

### ~~V.2.7 Recommendations~~

~~Consolidation of the Orange County and the City of Orlando parks and recreation departments was studied for efficiencies in service delivery, economies of scale, opportunities for enhanced intergovernmental cooperation, and other related issues. The Committee recommends:~~

~~V.2.7.1 That, based on the information examined by the Committee, within the time allowed, resources allowed, and constraints of the Consolidation of Services Study Commission, the parks and recreation services not be consolidated.~~

~~source beyond general revenue. (Source: Linda Akins, Director of Orange County Government Relations).~~

~~*Parks Fact #17* Advantages and possible difficulties of a consolidated parks and recreation services user-friendly website were discussed, and at the Committee's request, the technology staffs of the County and City looked at the technical requirements needed to create and sustain a combined website. Both jurisdictions indicated that this would require dedicated staff resources to accomplish and sustain this goal.~~

~~*Parks Fact #18* The Executive Director provided the Committee with the website ([http://www.topeka.org/pdfs/parks\\_rec\\_merger\\_plan.pdf](http://www.topeka.org/pdfs/parks_rec_merger_plan.pdf)) for The City of Topeka and Shawnee County Parks and Recreation Proposed Consolidation Plan, dated July 11, 2005.~~

### ~~**Conclusions**~~

## V.2 DRAFT Parks and Recreation Committee Report

Based upon testimony received by the Committee members during its various meetings the Committee unanimously adopted the seven conclusions that follow at their meeting held on January 30, 2006:

*Parks Conclusion #1* Both Orange County and the City of Orlando have professionally run parks and recreation services dedicated to providing exemplary service to residents. Both entities provide complimentary services that reflect the diverse needs of a diverse community.

*Parks Conclusion #2* A thorough, audited, budget analysis of the possible fiscal and service efficiencies gained through the creation of a unified Parks Authority is not possible given the time and financial constraints under which the Study Commission is operating.

*Parks Conclusion #3* The citizens' level of service expectations is an essential factor that must be considered in any consolidation of parks and recreational services.

*Parks Conclusion #4* Continued and expanded participation in the successful partnerships that exist among Orange County, the City of Orlando, and their partners is of benefit to those governmental entities and their citizens.

*Parks Conclusion #5* Dedicated funding sources, both for acquisition and for operations and maintenance, would be of great benefit to Orange County and the City of Orlando's parks and recreation departments.

*Parks Conclusion #6* Joint planning between Orange County and the City of Orlando to provide parks and recreation locations and services ensures that the greatest value in services is provided to their citizens. With projected growth more collaboration is necessary.

*Parks Conclusion #7* A user-friendly parks and recreation website with links to Orange County, City of Orlando, other service providers and ultimately all municipalities in Orange County, would be a genuine asset to all Orange County citizens.

## **V.2 DRAFT Parks and Recreation Committee Report**

### **Recommendations**

Consolidation of the Orange County and the City of Orlando parks and recreation departments was studied for efficiencies in service delivery, economies of scale, opportunities for enhanced intergovernmental cooperation and other related issues. The Committee recommends that the Study Commission adopt the following recommendations for further consideration by both the County and the City:

#### **PARKS RECOMMENDATION #1**

That based on the information examined by the Committee, and given the limited time resources and constraints with which the Consolidation of Services Study Commission must adhere to, the parks and recreation services for the City of Orlando and Orange County should not be consolidated.

#### **PARKS RECOMMENDATION #2**

That Orange County and the City of Orlando parks and recreation departments and their partners, such as the Orange County Public Schools and faith-based community organizations, continue their efforts to create common parks and recreation areas.

#### **PARKS RECOMMENDATION #3**

That Orange County and the City of Orlando establish and/or maintain dedicated funding sources for acquisition, construction and maintenance of park and recreation facilities and services, and further ensure that the respective Capital Improvement Elements of their Comprehensive Plans address future park needs.

#### **PARKS RECOMMENDATION #4**

That Orange County and the City of Orlando explore opportunities to achieve a coordinated effort in planning for the provision of parks and recreation facilities and services, particularly for large, community based parks.

#### **PARKS RECOMMENDATION #5**

That Orange County and the City of Orlando Parks Advisory Boards meet jointly, at least semi-annually, to discuss future planning and short-term and long-term community needs.

#### **PARKS RECOMMENDATION #6**

That Orange County and the City of Orlando parks and recreation departments continue to provide linkage between existing and proposed trails.

#### **PARKS RECOMMENDATION #7**

That Orange County and the City of Orlando implement a Joint Parks and Recreation Website as a user-friendly “One-Stop” place with search features that enable citizens to find: the closest park or all parks in their area; parks that have a particular feature or amenity; recreational services available; ~~V.2.7.2~~ hat the Orange County and the City of Orlando implement a Joint Parks and Recreation Website as a user-friendly “One-Stop” place for citizens to find the closest park, all parks in their area, parks that have a

## **V.2 DRAFT Parks and Recreation Committee Report**

~~particular amenity, etc.; recreational services available; and the costs (if any) and the costs, if any, for using a facility or participating in recreational activities. Such a website would be worth the cost in terms of customer “ease of use” benefits.~~

~~V.2.7.3 That Orange County and the City of Orlando parks and recreation departments continue to provide linkage between existing and proposed trails.~~

~~V.2.7.4 That the Orange County and City of Orlando parks and recreation departments and their partners (e.g. Orange County Public Schools, the faith-based community) continue efforts to create common parks and recreation areas.~~

~~V.2.7.5 That Orange County and the City of Orlando explore opportunities to achieve a coordinated effort in planning for the provision of parks and recreation facilities and services, particularly for large, community based parks.~~

~~V.2.7.6 That Orange County and the City of Orlando Parks Advisory Boards meet jointly at least semi-annually to discuss future planning and short-term and long-term community needs.~~

~~V.2.7.7 That Orange County and the City of Orlando establish and/or maintain dedicated funding sources for acquisition, construction, and maintenance of park and recreation facilities and services, and further ensure that their respective Capital Improvement Elements of their Comprehensive Plans address future park needs.~~

## **APPENDIXES**

### **APPENDIX V.2.A, MEETING SCHEDULE AND PRESENTERS**

~~Parks and Recreation Committee Report~~

### **APPENDIX V.2.B, ORANGE COUNTY PARKS AND RECREATION IMPACT FEE**

~~Parks and Recreation Committee Report~~

### **APPENDIX V.2.C, LIST OF CITY OF ORLANDO AGREEMENTS WITH ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION**

~~Parks and Recreation Committee Report~~

### **APPENDIX V.2.D, PARKS AND RECREATION DIVISIONS SIDE-BY-SIDE COMPARISON**

## ~~V.2 DRAFT Parks and Recreation Committee Report~~

### ~~Parks and Recreation Committee Report~~

#### ~~APPENDIX V.2.A, MEETING SCHEDULE AND PRESENTERS~~

##### ~~Parks and Recreation Committee Report~~

<del>PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE MEETING DATE</del>	<del>PRESENTER(S)</del>	<del>FORMAL PRESENTATION(S)</del>
<del>August 18, 2005</del>	<del><b>Robert Bowden</b> – Interim Director, City of Orlando Families, Parks and Recreation Department; <b>William Potter</b> – Manager, Parks and Recreation Division, Orange County</del>	<del>City of Orlando Families, Parks and Recreation Department Presentation; Orange county Parks and Recreation Overview Presentation</del>
<del>August 31, 2005</del>	<del><b>William Potter</b> – Manager, Parks and Recreation Division, Orange County; <b>Rodney Williams</b> – City of Orlando Recreation Division; <b>Robert Bowden</b> – Interim Director, City of Orlando Families, Parks and Recreation</del>	

## V.2 DRAFT Parks and Recreation Committee Report

	Department	
September 20, 2005	<del>Robert Bowden</del> – Interim Director, City of Orlando Families, Parks and Recreation Department; <del>Matt Suedmeyer</del> – Orange County Parks and Recreation	
October 20, 2005	<del>Chris Testerman</del> – City of Orlando Planning and Development; <del>Melvin Pittman</del> – Orange County Community and Environmental Services; <del>Dean Grandin</del> – City of Orlando Planning and Development; <del>Matt Suedmeyer</del> – Orange County Parks and Recreation; <del>Stephen Koontz</del> – Orange County Parks and Recreation	Executive Director's Workshop with Orange County and City of Orlando Parks and Recreation Staffs
December 8, 2005	<del>Robert Bowden</del> – Interim Director, City of Orlando Families, Parks and Recreation Department; <del>Matt Suedmeyer</del> – Orange County Parks and Recreation	
January 12, 2006	Committee Discussion	
January 24, 2006	Committee Discussion	

### APPENDIX V.2.B, ORANGE COUNTY PARKS AND RECREATION IMPACT FEE Parks and Recreation Committee Report

*(Received from William Potter, Manager, Orange County Parks and Recreation, 2:32 p.m., March 9, 2006, in an e-mail, Subject: Transportation Report Info)*

Dennis,

~~The BCC passed a parks and recreation impact fee. It goes into effect on March 10, 2006. Each residential unit will pay an impact fee at the time of permitting of:~~

- ~~o \$1,122.89 single family home~~
- ~~o \$809.43 multifamily residence~~

## V.2 DRAFT Parks and Recreation Committee Report

- o ~~\$809.43 accessory residence~~
- o ~~\$841.17 mobile Home~~

~~An annual index increase of 7.4% is included beginning with 2007. The fees will fund future community parks, district parks, regional parks and specialty parks.~~

~~Hope this is of some assistance to you...B~~

### APPENDIX V.2.C, LIST OF CITY OF ORLANDO AGREEMENTS WITH ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION

#### Parks and Recreation Committee Report

*(Received from Linda Rhinesmith, Economic Development Manager, City of Orlando, 1:47 p.m., March 22, 2016, in an e-mail, Subject: City Interlocals w/OCPS)*

Orlando Agreements

Listing Entity	Agreement Number	Functional Category	Name of Agreement	Parties to Agreement	Effective Date	Proposed Agreement	Comments
Parks and Recreation (PR)							

## V.2 ~~DRAFT~~ Parks and Recreation Committee Report

Orlando	PR-01	PR	School Facility Use Agreement – Jones High School	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools	9/23/1986		Joint Use Agreement for football and baseball fields, soccer field, basketball courts, tennis court & track. Expires 2011.
Orlando	PR-02	PR	A Resolution of the City of Orlando Authorizing Application for Funds to Develop a Joint Use Pool/Community Educational Facility in Orlando, Florida and School Facility Use Agreement	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools	10/20/1986		Agreement to participate in funding for Wadeview/Boone High Pool/community center. Involves recreation center, softball and baseball field, soccer field, tennis courts, track. Expires 2026.
Orlando	PR-03	PR	Loch Haven Park Lease Agreement	Orlando/Orlando Museum of Art	1/27/1993		50-Year Lease for the Orlando Museum of Art within Loch Haven Park
Orlando	PR-04	PR	Cady Way Maintenance Agreement	Orlando/Orange County	1/10/1994		Agreement to contract a private landscape maintenance firm maintain Cady Way Bike Trail from Ward Park to Fashion Square Mall
Orlando	PR-05	PR	School Facility and Joint Use Agreement Jackson Middle and Englewood Elementary Schools/City of Orlando Englewood Neighborhood Center	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools	2/27/1996		Joint use agreement. On Englewood campus involves parking and athletic fields. On Jackson property involves softball and baseball fields, soccer field, basketball courts, track. Expires 2022.
Orlando	PR-06	PR	School Facility Joint Use Agreement McCoy Elementary School/City of Orlando	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools	4/9/1996		Joint Use Agreement. Involves softball/baseball field, basketball court, playground. Expires 2011.
Orlando	PR-07	PR	School Facility Use Agreement – Howard Middle School/City of Orlando	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools	1/13/1998		Joint Use Agreement. Involves basketball courts, open field, track, soccer field after school. Extended in 2003. Expires 2008
Orlando	PR-08	PR	Joint Use Agreement Dover Shores Elementary School/City of Orlando	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools	5/26/1998		Joint use agreement. Involves classroom, cafeteria, playground, office for coordinator summer programs. Extended to 2003.
Orlando	PR-09	PR	Joint Development and Use Agreement	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools	9/14/1998		Joint development and use agreement for Grand Avenue Elementary School and adjacent Grand Avenue Park.
Orlando	PR-10	PR	Lease Agreement McCoy Park	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools	3/15/1999		Lease Agreement for McCoy Park. Expires 2013
Orlando	PR-11	PR	First Amendment to Joint Use Agreement Dover Shores Elementary School/City of Orlando	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools	7/17/2000		Proposed revision to existing joint use agreement. Expires 2003
Orlando	PR-12	PR	School Facility Joint Use Agreement Rolling Hills Elementary School/City of Orlando	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools	1/29/2001		Joint use agreement for campus and adjacent Signal Hill Park. Expires 2021
Orlando	PR-13	PR	Memorandum of Understanding – Princeton Elementary	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools	2/5/2001		MOU relating terms of joint project for improvements to recreation amenities on Princeton Elementary site and adjacent Princeton Park.
Orlando	PR-14	PR	School Facility and City Property Joint Use Agreement Lake Como Elementary School/City of Orlando	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools	2/12/2001		Joint use agreement. Involves athletic fields and restrooms. Expires 2021.
Orlando	PR-15	PR	Tri Party School Site Sale/Donation, Development and Operating Agreement	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools/Orlando NTC Partners	7/17/2001		Joint use agreement. Involves softball and baseball fields, soccer field, basketball court, playground, track and fitness course. Expires 2011.

## V.2 DRAFT Parks and Recreation Committee Report

Orlando	PR-16	PR	Amended and Restated Interlocal Agreement for Heritage Square Maintenance	Orlando/Orange County	1/28/2002		Provides for City maintenance of Heritage Square in Downtown Orlando.
Orlando	PR-17	PR	Real Estate Purchase Agreement	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools	5/23/2002		Agreement provided for the sale of land by City to OCPS for development of an elementary school (Eagle Nest) and joint use agreement.
Orlando	PR-18	PR	School Facility and City Property Joint Use Agreement Elementary School in Eagle Nest Subdivision/City of Orlando	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools	6/13/2002		Joint use agreement for Eagle Nest Park including Children's Park. Addresses use and maintenance. Expires in 2022.
Orlando	PR-19	PR	School Facility and City Property Joint Use Agreement Boone High and Blankner K-8 School/City of Orlando	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools	9/13/2002		Joint Use Agreement. Involves recreation center, softball and baseball field, soccer field, tennis courts, track. Part of Mayor's Park Initiative. Expires 2020 for pool and 2027 for ballfields.
Orlando	PR-20	PR	School Facility and City Property Joint Use Agreement (Rock Lake Elementary School/Rock Lake Park)	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools	10/31/2002		Joint use agreement. Involves soccer/multipurpose field, basketball and tennis courts. Expires 2062.
Orlando	PR-21	PR	Lease Agreement - Orange County School Board Program at John H. Jackson Community Center	Orlando/Orange County Public Schools	3/17/2003		Lease Agreement with OCPS for space at the John H. Jackson Community Center for the purpose of conducting Alternative Education Program known as Alternative Suspension Program. This program has been held at this site since 1996. Lease term is 1 year, automatically renewed for two one-year terms.
A	PR-22	PR	Interlocal Agreement Between Orange County, City of Orlando, and the Orange County School Board Regarding Ivey Lane Park	Orlando/Orange County/Orange County Public Schools	8/4/2003		Joint use agreement. Involves ballfields, basketball and tennis courts, and playground. No expiration date specified.